September 1, 2020

VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte Presentation of ACA Connects—America’s Communications Association; Call Authentication Trust Anchor, WC Docket No. 17-97; Implementation of TRACED Act Section 6(a) — Knowledge of Customers by Entities with Access to Numbering Resources, WC Docket No. 20-67

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On August 28, Ross Lieberman of ACA Connects—America’s Communications Association (“ACA Connects”) and I met by teleconference with Daniel Kahn, Matthew Collins, Annick Banoun, Connor Ferraro, Mason Shefa and John Visclosky of the Wireline Competition Bureau, and Kenneth Carlberg of the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau. The purpose of the call was to discuss the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking issued in the above-captioned proceeding.1

During the call, ACA Connects discussed arguments and proposals raised in its comments and reply comments in the proceeding.2 In particular, ACA Connects reiterated its support for the proposed one-year deadline extension for small voice providers to implement STIR/SHAKEN, and it urged the Commission to complete its required review of the deadline extension and make a decision whether to extend it further no later than one year before the extension expires.3 ACA Connects also discussed its comments in the proceeding with regard to

3 See ACA Connects Comments at 7, n.14 (“[A]s a practical matter, it is less important how soon this review process starts after a deadline extension is granted than how far in advance it is completed of when
robocall mitigation programs; IP-to-IP interconnection; and intermediate providers’ participation in STIR/SHAKEN.

This letter is being filed electronically pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission’s rules. Please address to the undersigned any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

Brian Hurley

Cc: Daniel Kahn
    Matthew Collins
    Annick Banoun
    Connor Ferraro
    Mason Shefa
    John Visclosky
    Kenneth Carlberg

a deadline extension expires. This is because providers will need sufficient time to incorporate into their planning the Bureau’s decision whether or not they will be granted a further extension and, if so, for how long.”).